

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/693,019	BABA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri H. Phan	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Tri H. Phan.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(2) Joseph Giordano.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 October 2006

Time: 4:10 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Problem with functional language in claim 16.

Claims discussed:

Claim 16

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed on changing claim 16 with more active language and agreement was reached. Authorization for the examiner's amendment on claim 16.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)